

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/186,247	BRIGHT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sherali Ishrat	2624	

All Participants:

(1) Sherali Ishrat.

(2) Dr. Louis Hrzberg.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 November 2006

Time: 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)
SHERALI ISHRAT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that in order to place the application in condition for allowance, Applicant needs to cancel non-elected claims, include computer readable media in claims reciting computer program and independent claims 85, 88 and 90 should include interger transform data as argued in the response otherwise these independent claims should be included in non-elected claims. Applicant's Attorney agreed to amend the claims by an examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.